Hertszprung-Russell Analogs for Accreting Binaries

Saeqa Dil Vrtilek (CfA)





L. Bornn (Harvard), B. Boroson (Clayton State), S. Buchan (Southampton), J. Cechura (AIAS), G. Fabbiano (CfA), J. Fridriksson (MIT), G. Gopalan (UIceland), J. Homan (MIT), N. Islam (RRI), D. Kim (CfA), M. McCollough (CfA), A. Paggi (CfA), J. Raymond (CfA), J. Richards (UCB), and the Chandra Galaxy Atlas Team





Talk outline

- 1. What are X-ray binaries?
- 2. What are Hertszprung-Russell diagrams?
- 3. What are CCI diagrams?
- 4. Statistics applied to date.
- 5. Projects in search of a statistician.
- 6. Putting in some physics.

What are Accreting binaries?

Jet

Accretion disc \

Hot corona

Disc wind

Accretion

Hot spot

stream

Companion

X-ray heating

star

.R. Hynes 2001

Mirabel et al 1994 Nature front page

RXTE/ASM light curves



GRS1915+105: First XRB system observed to show prominent relativistic jets

Quasars and microquasars show direct correlation between kinetic power and γ-ray luminosity over 10 orders of magnitude! Nemmen et al. 2012



http://hera.ph1.uni-koeln.de/~heintzma

 $\tau \approx R_{\rm S}/c \sim M$



Why Study Accreting Binaries?

- They contain endpoints of stellar evolution
- They are highly efficient at converting matter to energy
- They contain matter at extreme conditions (density/temperature)
- They result from and result in highly cataclysmic events
- They are the most nearby, easily studied example of accretion processes and disk/jet interaction



A Low Mass X–Ray Binary: 4U 1820–3

X-Ray Emission: BURS

Hertszprung-Russell Diagrams



Hertsprung-Russell diagram: Single stars



https://www.eso.org/public/images/eso0728c/



Normal star

Accreting binary

Jet



Disc wind

disc

Companion stream star

R. Hynes 2001

Optical Color + Optical Luminosity

X-ray color + X-ray luminosity



Neutron star "Z" and "Atoll" systems Homan et al 2010



(a) GX 5-1

Neutron star "Z" and "Atoll" systems Homan et al 2010





Color-Color-Intensity Diagrams

- **C** HR1 = (3-5keV)/(1.3-3keV)
- **C** HR2 = (5-12 keV)(1.3-3 keV)
- I Intensity = 1.3-12keV counts (Intensity normalized to top 1%) (Only $\geq 5\sigma$ points plotted)

RXTE/ASM data: One day averages over 13 years



Black holes high mass Black holes low mass Pulsing neutron stars Non-pulsing neutron stars



Vrtilek & Boroson 2013



Capturing geometries

Richards et al (2008)



Spectral Connectivity Analysis

Principal Components Analysis

Spectral Connectivity Analysis

Input data

Richards et al (2008; 2009) Freeman et al (2009) Lee & Waterman (2010)

Data clustered by diffusionMap



diffusionMap: http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/diffusionMap/index.html

15

Separating **Bhs**, **NPNS**, and **pulsars**





Input data colored by prior knowledge

Data colored by diffusionMap clusters

Different states of a single source: Cygnus X-1



Grinberg et al. 2013

(Hard, intermediate,

and soft states of Cyg X-1 determined using 2741 spectral fits to PCA data)



Cyg X-1 clustered by DiffKmeans



PCA data of Cyg X-1 clustered by diffusionMap

Buchan, Vrtilek, & Boroson 2013

3D Scatterplot - True



Kernel method using prior knowledge

Bornn et al 2013

Black holes with high-mass companions Black holes with low-mass companions Pulsars Z-sources Atoll sources



A statistical machine learning solution to infer the probability that an observation corresponds to a given compact object type (i.e black hole, pulsing neutron star, or non pulsing neutron star) of an X-ray binary system given X-ray colorcolor-intensity data.



Gopalan, Vrtilek, & Bornn 2015

Definition of X-ray binary type.



CCI data for 24 X-ray binary systems classified by their compact object type into three groups: black hole, non pulsing neutron star, pulsing neutron star.

Initial classification from Liu et al. 2001, 2006

Atoll/Z systems from Homan et al. 2010 Confirmed black holes from Remillard \& McClintock 2006 Confirmed pulsars from Bildsten et al. 1997

Gopalan, Vrtilek, & Bornn 2015

6 non-pulsing systems (25,366 points) 9 black holes (13,098 points) 9 pulsars (2393 points)

Severe imbalance in number of data points per system type

Gaussian process requires $O(N^3)$ operations: 40,857 observations \rightarrow Huge computational burden.



Gopalan, Vrtilek, & Bornn 2015

Subsampled training set

A. 6 non-pulsing systems (1465 points)B. 9 confirmed black holes (1468 points)C. 9 confirmed pulsars (1134 points)



Gopalan, Vrtilek, & Bornn 2015

00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
00
<td

Number of Observations by System after Subsampling Training Set

Machine Learning Solution: Gaussian Latent Process



24 system learning set:9 black holes; 9 pulsars6 non pulsing neutron stars

Latent variables: propensities to contain a BH, PNS, NPNS.

Posterior sampling with elliptical slice sampling

Code/data: github.com/ggopalan/Binary-BH-Classification

The training set is denoted as a 2-tuple:

Xtrain is Ntrain by 3 matrix representing the 3 CCI values Ytrain is a Ntrain vector which denotes system type A, B, or C

Xpred: Npred by three matrix representing the 3 CCI values **Ypred is a vector that predicts source type for each test point**

Three independent latent variables (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) for each compact object type denote propensities to be a Black hole, Pulsar, or Non pulsing neutron star

Gopalan, Vrtilek, & Bornn 2015

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \sigma^2 \exp(-||X_{i,.} - X_{j,.}||_2^2 / \phi)$$

$$L(\alpha, \beta, Z_t; Y_{train}) = \prod_{k=1}^{N_{train}} \exp[\alpha_{Y_{train_k}} + \beta_{Y_{train_k}} Z_{t_{i,Y_{train_k}}}]/N_k$$

$$N_k = \sum_{l=1}^3 \exp[\alpha_l + \beta_l Z_{t_{k,l}}]$$

Gopalan, Vrtilek, & Bornn 2015

$$p^{*}(Y_{pred}) = \int_{Z_{p}, Z_{t}, \alpha, \beta} p(Y_{p}, Z_{p}, Z_{t}, \alpha, \beta | Y_{t}, X_{t}, X_{p}) dZ_{p} Z_{t} d\alpha d\beta$$
$$= \int_{Z_{p}, Z_{t}, \alpha, \beta} p(Y_{p} | Z_{p}, \alpha, \beta, -) p(Z_{p} | Z_{t}, -) p(Z_{t}, \alpha, \beta, | Y_{t}, X_{t}, X_{p}) dZ_{p} dZ_{t} d\alpha d\beta$$

Gopalan, Vrtilek, & Bornn 2015



Murray, Adams, & MacKay 2010

Latent Variable Gaussian Process: Validation

Test randomly selected classified systems that were *not* used in training the model.



LMC X-3: Black hole



Gaussian Process Model: Validation

Test randomly selected classified systems that were *not* used in training the model.





05676-072 (Pulsar)

Gaussian Process Model: Validation

Test randomly selected classified systems that were *not* used in training the model.



Туре

0535+262: Pulsar

Gaussian Process Model: Validation



Black hole GX339-4

Burster Aql X-2



Gaussian Process Model: Future Directions

- Include lightcurve information as prior
- Include systems that contain white dwarfs (CVs)
- Incorporate hierarchical structure (as prior distribution on the Gaussian process parameter)
- Model and impute missing data.
- Apply similar methodology to RXTE PCA and MAXI data which have finer energy resolution and greater collecting area than ASM.

Giri Gopalan

Statistics problems galore



Black holes Pulsing neutron stars Non-pulsing neutron stars Cataclysmic variables



Examples of soft and hard color definitions

Vrtilek/Boroson (2013) **RXTE/ASM):** Soft color \rightarrow (3.0-5.0)keV/(1.2-3.0)keV Hard color \rightarrow (5.0-12)keV/(1.2-3.0keV) McCollough/Vrtilek (2014) **Chandra/HETG:** Soft color \rightarrow (3.0-5.0)keV/(1.2-3.0)keV Hard color \rightarrow (5.0-8.0)keV/(1.2-3.0keV) **RXTE/PCA: Peris et al (2015)** Soft color **→** (3.6-5.0)keV/(2.2-3.6)keV Hard color →> (8.6-18.0)keV/(5.0-8.6)keV Homan et al (2010) Fridriksson, Homan, & Remillard (2015) **RXTE/PCA:**

Soft color \rightarrow (4.0-7.3)keV/(2.4-4.0)keV Hard color \rightarrow (9.8-18.2)keV/(7.3-9.8)keV

Monitor All-sky X-ray Instrument (MAXI)

Slit camera with area up to 5000 cm².

Sensitivity: 3 mCrab

Energy range: 0.5-30 keV

Resolution: 18% at 6 keV

Monitoring over 1000 sources since 2009



Matsuoka et al 2009

Maxi data in different energy ratios

Vrtilek/Boroson (ASM): Soft color==> (3.0-5.0)keV/(1.2-3.0)keV Hard color==> (5.0-12)keV/(1.2-3.0keV)

McCollough/Vrtilek (Chandra): Soft color==> (3.0-5.0)keV/(1.2-3.0)keV Hard color==> (5.0-8.0)keV/(1.2-3.0keV)

Peris/Remillard (2015 PCA): Soft color==> (3.6-5.0)keV/(2.2-3.6)keV Hard color==> (8.6-18.0)keV/(5.0-8.6)keV

Homan (2010 PCA): Soft color==> (4.0-7.3)keV/(2.4-4.0)keV Hard color==>(9.8-18.2)keV/(7.3-9.8)keV



RXTE/ASM Data

MAXI data using ASM Bands





Black holes Pulsing neutron stars Non-pulsing neutron stars Cataclysmic variables



ASM Energy Bands



Chandra Energy Bands

MAXI data





Homan Energy bands



Peris energy bands





Figure 1. CD and HID representing the entire *RXTE* PCA data set of XTE J1701–462.

XTEJ1701-462 (Fridricksson, Homan, & Remillard 2015)



GRS1957+105 (Peris et al 2016)

CCI equivalent





GRS 1915+105 (Peris et al 2016)

XTEJ1705-462 (Fridricksson et al 2015)



GRS 1915+105 Peris energy bands; XTE J1705-462 Homan energy bands

Accreting binary types cluster in CCI diagrams as normal star types cluster in Hertzprung-Russell diagrams.



RXTE/ASM



The separation is robust between instruments and within different color definitions.



MAXI/Chandra bands

Overlaps between types occur if one mixes color definitions

Statistical distributions of XRBS from 100 elliptical galaxies (with the Chandra Galaxy Atlas Team)

Statistical distributions of XRBS from 42 spiral galaxies (with the Chandra Galaxy Atlas Team)



Five Ellipticals

Statistical study to determine optimal energy bands Corrections for comparing different color ratios



ASM Chandra Homan Peris

Statistical study to determine optimal energy bands

Conversions for comparison between instruments



Cyg X-1 (ASM and MAXI)



Sub	oty	pes		
		HR 2		
1.0	1	2	3	4
1.0	. : .::			
			sian 1941 - S	: / \
				4.
Cts/Max 0.5				.:/ \
		1		+
0.0	• * *		· ·	-()
2				
HR 1 4				
				\backslash
				V

Black Hole
systems
1118+480
1550-564
1650-500
1655-40
1859+226
Cyg X-1
LMC X-1
GX339-4
GRS1915+105

Neutron stars, non pulsing
Sco X-1 Cyg X-2 GX17+2 GX349+2 GX9+1 GX9+9



Neutron stars, pulsing J0352+309 J1901+03 J1947+300 J2030+375 J1538-522 Cen X-3 Her X-1 Smc X-1 Vela x-1

Projects in search of a Statistician Substates of individual sources with better resolution



PCA Data of GRS1915+105 Belloni states

Other Ongoing Projects

Study of Cataclysmic Variable Sub Types (John Raymond)





Incorporating the Physics



HR 2

Resolved jet sources X-ray pulsars

Cyg X-1 Cyg X-3 Circinus X-1 XTE J1550-564 Sco X-1 GROJ1655-40 GRS 1915+105 GX339-4

Incorporating the Physics



Resolved jet sources X-ray pulsars





If $P_B < P_p$ field lines spiral

 $P_{\rm B} = B^2/8\pi$ $P_{\rm p} = \varrho v^2$

 $P_B = P_P$ at the Alfven radius

Condition for jet formation is that

 $R_A/R_* = 1$ for NS

 $R_A/R_{LSO} = 1$ for BH



 $M_{dot} = 4\pi R^2 \varrho v \text{ (Longair 1994)}$ v = (2GM*/R)^{1/2} For a dipole magnetic field: B/B* = (R*/R)³

$R_A/R_* \cong 0.87 (B_*/10^8G)^{4/7} (M_{dot}10^{-8} M_{sun}/year)^{-2/7}$

For a NS with a mass 1.44M and radius of 9km (Titarchuk & Shaposhnikov 2002) sun

Massi & Bernado 2008 quantified this progression using known values:

 $R_{Alfven}/R_{NS surface} = 1$ for neutron star systems

 $R_{Alfven}/R_{ISO} = 1$ for black hole systems.



Fender et al model for jet production in XRBs

From most likely to least likely to produce jets:

VHS/IS HS **Black hole systems with no intrinsic** Soft Hard LS magnetic field. Γ>2 Low-mass neutron star systems with weak magnetic fields at high iii accretion (Z-type) et + hardness Low-mass neutron star systems with Lorentz facto no weak magnetic fields at low jet iv accretion (Atoll) iv i ii 🗲 iii -

High-mass neutron star systems with high magnetic fields (Pulsars)

Fender, Belloni, & Gallo (2004)

 $\Gamma < 2$



$R_A/R_{LSO} = 1$



Schwarzschild-BH XRBs





Magnetic Field Strength

 $R_{Alfven}/R_{NS surface} = 1$ for neutron star systems

B ≤ 1.35 X 10⁸ G Schwarszchild blackhole

 $B \le 5 \times 10^8 G$ Kerr blackhole

 $B \le 10^{8.2} \text{ G}$ Z-sources

 $B \le 10^{7.7} G$ Atoll sources

B ≤ 10⁷⁵ G Millisecond pulsars

 $B \le 10^{5.9} \text{ G} \text{ AGN}$

Pulsars B ~ 10¹² G No jets at any accretion rate $R_{Alfven}/R_{ISO} = 1$ for black hole systems.



Massi & Bernado 2008



Massi & Bernado 2008

Homan et al 2010 claim M_{dot} increases from Atoll to Z sources. And bursters are thought to be at very low Mdot.



- 1. Mass accretion rate determines available energy
- 2. Strong magnetic fields inhibit jet formation
- 3. ISCO is related to jet power



McClintock, Narayan, & Steiner 2013



CCI diagram incorporate ALL key elements that determine interplay between jet power and disk radiation:

- 1. Mass accretion rate which determines available energy
- 2. Strong magnetic fields which inhibit jet formation
- **3.** Basic condition for jet formation $(R_A/R_{lso} = 1)$







When will an accreting neutron star become a microquasar rather than a pulsar?







Problem in search of a statistician

When will a black hole X-ray binary evolve into a microquasar phase?





Ongoing projects in search of a Statistician

Statistical distributions of XRBS from 100 elliptical galaxies (Vrtilek and the Chandra Galaxy Atlas Team)

Statistical distributions of XRBS from 42 spiral galaxies (Islam and the Chandra Galaxy Atlas Team)

Disk/Jet connection: NS to microquasar or pulsar? Disk/Jet connection: Bh evolution to microquasar

Include lightcurve information as prior

Incorporate hierarchical structure (as prior distribution on the Gaussian process parameter)

Model and impute missing data.

Corrections for comparing different color ratios Conversions for comparison between instruments