X-ray Stacking

Tom Aldcroft SAO/CXC

Motivation for stacking

- Multiwavelength analysis is a major theme in modern astrophysics
- Many types of astrophysical sources emit (at some level) over a wide range spanning radio to gamma rays
- Detection efficiency of a source class (e.g. obscured active galactic nuclei) is often best in a particular band
- Observations in other bands may result in non-detections
- How can we get the most information from the non-detections?
 - Individual SEDs upper limits constrain physical models
 - Survival analysis for a sample
 - Stacking mean properties of sample
- Chandra X-ray data (faint point sources) are photon-limited with low background => stacking in X-rays is very effective

Stacking basics: Make an image

- Define source positions from input catalog EXAMPLES:
 - 1376 (2308) optically-selected unobscured QSOs from SDSS
 - ~1600 (2150) IR-selected sources from Spitzer (obscured AGN)
- Extract image cutouts in X-ray data at each source position
- Co-add images for sources that are not detected in X-ray



Source, background and exposure map

- At the position of source *i* in input catalog define source region S_i based on local PSF and background region B_i
- Exclude sources that are known from X-ray or input catalogs
- Exposure map E_{s,i} is effective area (cm²) × exposure time (sec) at each pixel



Stacking basics: Net counts and flux

• Net counts C and mean flux f'

$$C = \sum_{i} \left(S_i - B_i \frac{\sum_{pix} E_{S,i}}{\sum_{pix} E_{B,i}} \right) \qquad \bar{f} = \frac{C}{\sum_{i} \bar{E}_{S,i}}$$



Issues

- The sample of X-ray "non-detections" depends on detect method and draws a hard distinction between sources straddling the detection limit
- Are a few sources dominating the signal?



Issues

- Setimate distribution in *N* or *f* by random resampling of input sources
- Question what is this really telling us?



http://saturn.phys.cmu.edu/cstack User: guest Password: guest

Advanced stacking: ChaMP + SDSS

- Simple stacking tools calculate net counts or flux assuming homogenous data sets - exposure and responses are fairly uniform.
- The Chandra Multiwavelength Project (ChaMP) is a very large X-ray survey using archival data spanning 6 years: GOOD
- The ChaMP has with a wide range in exposure and responses: BAD
- The goal is to properly account for these complications to explore AGN and galaxy physics and evolution.
- The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) is a massive optical survey of the sky which provides > 100,000 spectroscopically confirmed quasars with redshifts (and much much more).
- There is a 30 deg² overlap between ChaMP and SDSS.

Advanced stacking: Luminosity for a heterogenous sample

Since we have redshift (distance) estimates for the input SDSS samples we want to infer luminosity rather than flux.

		Dependencies	Units
$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{ ext{rest}}) =$	$C(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{obs}})$		counts
	\times ApertureCorrection	(Position)	counts
	$\times \mathrm{ExposureMap}^{-1}$	(Time, position, detector, etc)	$\rm photons/cm^2/sec$
	\times EnergyCorrectionFactor	$(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{obs}}, \mathrm{spectrum}, \mathrm{z})$	$\rm erg/cm^2/sec$
	$\times (4\pi d_l^2)^{-1}$	$(z, ext{cosmology})$	$erg/sec[\mathcal{E}_{obs}/(1+z)]$
	×Kcorrection	$(z, \mathcal{E}_{ ext{obs}}, \mathcal{E}_{ ext{rest}}, ext{spectrum})$	erg/sec

$$\bar{L} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} C_{i} \frac{A_{\text{corr},i} \times \text{ECF}_{i} \times \text{K}_{\text{corr},i}}{\bar{E}_{S,i} 4\pi d_{l,i}^{2}}$$

Mean of L values

$$\bar{L} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} \bar{E}_{S,i}} \sum_{i} C_{i} \frac{A_{\text{corr,i}} \times \text{ECF}_{i} \times \text{K}_{\text{corr,i}}}{4\pi d_{l,i}^{2}}$$

Weight by exposure as per flux calculation

Is this even "stacking" any more?

Discussion!

Now the real question: Some mean luminosity will be generated but how do we calculate the confidence intervals?

$$C_{i} = S_{i} - B_{i} \underbrace{\sum_{pix} E_{S,i}}_{\sum_{pix} E_{B,i}}$$

$$\sim 0 \text{ to 5 cts} \qquad \sim 5 \text{ to 25 cts}$$

$$\bar{L} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} \bar{E}_{S,i}} \sum_{i} C_{i} \frac{A_{\text{corr},i} \times \text{ECF}_{i} \times \text{K}_{\text{corr},i}}{4\pi d_{l,i}^{2}}$$

Would it be useful to plot / analyze histograms of L_i? This avoids the (somewhat) arbitrary distinction between detected and non-detected sources.