

# Cross Calibration Project Update

Xufei Wang, Yang Chen

Harvard University

*Joint work with Meng, X.L., Vinay, K., Herman, M.*

November 10, 2015

- 1 Explanation of Multiplicative Model
- 2 log-Normal Model
  - Model Description
  - Shrinkage estimators with known variance
  - Estimators with unknown variance
- 3 Poisson Model
- 4 Questions for Discussions

# Explanation of Multiplicative Model

## Expected Counts of instrument $i$ source $j$ , $C_{ij}$

- The effective area  $A_i(E) = \mathcal{A}_i \rho_i(E)$ , where only  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is unknown and  $\rho_i(E)$  is a fixed function estimated empirically for  $E \in [E_1, E_2]$ .
- The flux  $F_j = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} n(E; \theta_j) dE = N_j \int_{E_1}^{E_2} q(E|\theta_j^*) dE$ , where  $n(E; \theta_j)$  is the spectrum of source  $j$  at energy  $E$ .  $q(E|\theta_j^*)$  is known.
- The response matrix function  $r_{ik}(E)$  is the probability that a photon with energy  $E$  comes to channel  $k$  through instrument  $i$ ; known.
- The exposure time for instrument  $i$  source  $j$ ,  $T_{ij}$ , is measured precisely.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij} &= \sum_{\frac{E_1}{\kappa_i} \leq k \leq \frac{E_2}{\kappa_i}} T_{ij} \int r_{ik}(E) A_i(E) n(E; \theta_j) dE \\ &= \mathcal{A}_i N_j \left[ T_{ij} \times \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \rho_i(E) q(E|\theta_j^*) \sum_{\frac{E_1}{\kappa_i} \leq k \leq \frac{E_2}{\kappa_i}} r_{ik}(E) dE \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# Notation Explanation

Consistently throughout the presentation, we adopt the following rules.

**Upper Case** Quantity to be estimated, i.e. estimand.

**Lower Case** Quantity directly obtained/calculated from the data.

**Index  $i$**  Index for instrument.

**Index  $j$**  Index for source.

Example:

- $C_{ij}$  is the expected count of source  $j$  from instrument  $i$ .
- $c_{ij}$  is the observed count of source  $j$  from instrument  $i$ .

# log-Normal Model

# log-Normal Model

Noting that  $C_{ij} = A_i F_j$  is mathematically equivalent to

$$\log C_{ij} = \log A_i + \log F_j.$$

Define  $Y_{ij} = \log C_{ij}$ ,  $B_i = \log A_i$  and  $G_j = \log F_j$ . By half variance correction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ij}^2 + B_i + G_j + e_{ij}, \text{Var}(e_{ij}) = \sigma_{ij}^2, y'_{ij} = y_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ij}^2 \\ b_i &= -\frac{1}{2}\tau_i^2 + B_i + \epsilon_i, \text{Var}(\epsilon_i) = \tau_i^2, b'_i = b_i + \frac{1}{2}\tau_i^2 \\ g_j &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta_j^2 + G_j + \delta_j, \text{Var}(\delta_j) = \eta_j^2, g'_j = g_j + \frac{1}{2}\eta_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

## Subsection 2

### Shrinkage estimators with known variance



## An intuitive example

For an intuitive model, suppose we know all the variances and  $\sigma_{ij}^2 = \sigma_i^2$ ,  $\eta_j^2 = 0$ , we could get the MLE for  $B_i$  is

$$\widehat{B}_i = \omega_i b'_i + (1 - \omega_i)(\bar{y}'_i - \bar{g}_i), i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\bar{g}_i = \sum_{j \in J_i} g_j / M_i, M_i = |J_i|$$

$$\omega_i = \tau_i^{-2} / (\tau_i^{-2} + M_i \sigma_i^{-2})$$

The results show that  $\widehat{B}_i$  is a shrinkage estimator between the observed  $b'_i$  and the estimator from the observation,  $\bar{y}'_i - \bar{g}_i$ .

# Shrinkage estimators

For a general model with known variances, we could also estimate  $B_i$  and  $G_j$  in as a shrinkage estimator.

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{B}_i &= w_i b'_i + (1 - w_i)(\bar{y}'_i - \bar{G}_i), i = 1, \dots, N \\ \widehat{G}_j &= v_j g'_j + (1 - v_j)(\bar{y}'_j - \bar{B}_j), j \in J\end{aligned}$$

$\bar{B}_i, \bar{G}_j, \bar{y}'_i, \bar{y}'_j$  could be estimated similarly as above. The details could be found in the paper.

# Variance for the estimators

We need to consider a very special case to calculate the variance of the estimators. Assume  $\sigma_{ij}^2 = \sigma_i^2, \tau_i^2 = \tau^2$  and  $J_i = \tilde{J}$ , the variance are

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{B}_i) = \frac{1}{M_i \sigma_i^{-2} + \tau^{-2}} + \dots < \tau^2$$

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{G}_j) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i \in I_j} \sigma_i^{-2} + \eta^{-2}} - \dots < \eta^2, j \in \tilde{J}$$

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{G}_j) = \eta^2, j \notin \tilde{J}$$

The results show that with more observations, the variance of the estimands decrease.

## Subsection 3

### Estimators with unknown variance

# Assumptions for observation error

If we have no idea about the variances, we could make some estimations of them. In this case, we make homogenous variance assumptions for  $\sigma_{ij}^2$ . Two major assumptions are

- The variance only depends on instrument, that is  $\sigma_{ij}^2 = \sigma_i^2$ ;
- The impact of instrument and source on the measurement error is additive, that is  $\sigma_{ij}^2 = \omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2$ .

# Shrinkage estimators

If the variance only depends on the instruments, we could estimate  $B_i$  and  $G_j$  as before. The only difference is that we need to estimate  $\sigma_i^2$ ,  $\tau^2$  and  $\eta^2$  from the data. In a special case, let  $\tau_i^2 = \tau^2$  and  $\eta_j^2 = \eta^2$ , then we have

$$\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + S_{y,i}^2} - 1 \right], S_{y,i}^2 = \frac{1}{M_i} \sum_{j \in J_i} (y_{ij} - \hat{B}_i - \hat{G}_j)^2$$

$$\hat{\tau}^2 = 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + S_b^2} - 1 \right], S_b^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - \hat{B}_i)^2$$

$$\hat{\eta}^2 = 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + S_g^2} - 1 \right], S_g^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M (g_j - \hat{G}_j)^2$$

By solving the above equations, we could still get shrinkage estimators.

# Variance for the estimators

To estimate the variance of the estimators, we consider a special case, that is the non-overlapping observations, which means  $I_j \cap I_k = \emptyset$ . Then every source is observed by one and only one instrument. We consider the following three cases:

(1) If  $\sigma^2, \tau^2, \eta^2$  as known, we have

$$\text{var}(G_j) = \left( \sum_{i \in I_j} \frac{\sigma_i^{-2} \tau^{-2}}{\sigma_i^{-2} + \tau^{-2}} + \eta^{-2} \right)^{-1} < \eta^2, |I_j| \geq 1;$$

$$\text{var}(B_i) = (\sigma_i^{-2} + \tau^{-2})^{-1} + \text{var}(G_j) \left( \frac{\sigma_i^{-2}}{\sigma_i^{-2} + \tau^{-2}} \right)^2 < \tau^2, i \in I_j.$$

(2) If we only treat  $\tau^2, \eta^2$  as known, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}^*(G_j) &= \left( \sum_{i \in I_j} \sigma_i^{-2} + \eta^{-2} - \sum_{i \in I_j} \frac{b_i}{a_i} \right)^{-1}; \\ \text{var}^*(B_i) &= \frac{c_i}{a_i} + \text{var}^*(G_j) \frac{\sigma_i^{-12}}{4a_i^2}.\end{aligned}$$

(3) If we treat all the parameters as unknown,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}'(B_i) &= \text{var}^*(B_i) + (d_{i,1}^2 K_{1,1} + 2d_{i,1}d_{i,2}K_{1,2} + d_{i,2}^2 K_{2,2}) \\ \text{var}'(G_j) &= \text{var}^*(G_j) + (e_{j,1}^2 K_{1,1} + 2e_{j,1}e_{j,2}K_{1,2} + e_{j,2}^2 K_{2,2});\end{aligned}$$



## Additive noise model

In another case, we assume  $\sigma_{ij}^2 = \omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2$ , we could estimate  $B_i, G_j, \tau^2, \eta^2$  as before. The estimator of  $\omega_i^2$  and  $\nu_j^2$  are could be solved by

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in J_i} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{(y_{ij} - \hat{B}_i - \hat{G}_j)^2}{(\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2)^2} \right] = 0$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I_j} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{(y_{ij} - \hat{B}_i - \hat{G}_j)^2}{(\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2)^2} \right] = 0;$$

where  $y'_{ij} = y_{ij} + 0.5(\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2)$ ,  $b'_i = b_i + 0.5\tau_i^2$ ,  $g'_j = g_j + 0.5\eta_j^2$ , and

$$B_i = \frac{b'_i/\tau_i^2 + \sum_{j \in J_i} (y'_{ij} - G_j)/(\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2)}{1/\tau_i^2 + \sum_{j \in J_i} 1/(\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2)};$$
$$G_j = \frac{g'_j/\eta_j^2 + \sum_{i \in I_j} (y'_{ij} - B_i)/(\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2)}{1/\eta_j^2 + \sum_{i \in I_j} 1/(\omega_i^2 + \nu_j^2)}.$$

# Poisson Model

# Poisson Model

In a Poisson model, we assume  $c_{ij}$  follows a Poisson distribution with parameter as  $C_{ij}$  and make further assumptions for  $C_{ij}$ .

$$c_{i,j} \sim \text{Pois}(C_{i,j}), \log(C_{i,j}) = B_i + G_j$$

$$b_i = -\frac{1}{2}\tau_i^2 + B_i + \epsilon_i, \text{Var}(\epsilon_i) = \tau_i^2, b'_i = \log(a_i) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_i^2$$

$$g_j = -\frac{1}{2}\eta_j^2 + G_j + \delta_j, \text{Var}(\delta_j) = \eta_j^2, g'_j = \log(f_j) + \frac{1}{2}\eta_j^2$$

The MLE of the model should satisfies the following equations

$$e^{B_i} \sum_{j \in J_i} e^{G_j} - \frac{b_i - B_i}{\tau_i^2} = \sum_{j \in J_i} c_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$e^{G_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} e^{B_i} - \frac{g_j - G_j}{\eta_j^2} = \sum_{i \in I_j} c_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\tau_i^2 = 2 \left[ \sqrt{S_{b,i}^2 + 1} - 1 \right] , \quad S_{b,i}^2 = (b_i - B_i)^2$$

$$\eta_j^2 = 2 \left[ \sqrt{S_{g,j}^2 + 1} - 1 \right] , \quad S_{g,j}^2 = (g_j - G_j)^2$$

## Questions for Discussions

# Questions for Discussions

- log-Normal Model
  - Known vs unknown variance components
  - Additive noise: estimating equations
- Poisson Model
  - Model assumptions
  - Estimating equations
- Model Checking
  - Noise
  - Real data performance