Introduction and application of a new blind source separation method for extended sources in X-ray astronomy



Galactic center





Cassiopeia A supernova remnant

Adrien Picquenot

Perseus galaxy cluster



Summary

An introduction to SNRs

<u>Methodology :</u>

- Introduction of wavelets and GMCA
- Tests on toy models => Picquenot et al. (2019)
- Introduction of pGMCA => Bobin J., El Hamzaoui I., Picquenot A., Acero F. (2020)
- Error bars

Applications :

- Asymmetries in Cassiopeia A => Picquenot et al. (2021)
- Synchrotron rim widths in Cassiopeia A

Conclusion and perspectives

Introduction

Nova Stella

« Last night of all, When yond same star that's westward from the pole Had made his course to illume that part of heaven »



-Shakespeare's Hamlet, Act 1 Scene 1



Map of the sky from Tycho Brahe's De nova stella

Supernovae types

Thermonuclear (Type Ia)

Core Collapse



Single/double degenerate scenarii (white dwarves)



Simulation, from Wongwathanarat et al. (2015)

Core Collapse Supernovae



Simulation, from Wongwathanarat et al. (2015)

- Nuclear fusion does not counter gravity anymore : core collapse
- Shock revival by neutrino heating (boosted by instabilities) Janka+2012
- Outer layers are ejected
- Asymmetries induce the neutron star kick Nordhaus+2012
 Janka and Mueller 1994

Asymmetries in the explosion proved necessary in the simulations.

Linking the remnant to the supernova



Cassiopeia A seen by Chandra

Simulation, from Wongwathanarat et al. (2015)

What can the remnant ejecta tell us about the initial asymmetry ?

Linking the remnant to the supernova



<u>t = 1 year</u>

<u>t = 100 years</u>

<u>t = 500 years</u>

Simulation about the evolution of a type Ia SNR from Ferrand et al. (2019). A similar work was done in Orlando et al. (2016) for CC SNR

Schematic supernova remnant



Ejecta can trace the explosion mechanisms.

Real supernova remnant



Real supernova remnant



Spectro-imaging instruments

Chandra ACIS



spatial : 0.5 arcsec ; spectral : 150 eV



XMM-Newton EPIC



spatial : 6 arcsec ; spectral : 150 eV

For each photon, the instruments detect (x,y,E,t).

Cas A data cube (x,y,E)



Ε

Colors show flux density

Cas A data cube (x,y,E)



Colors show flux density

Chandra data (1Ms, 2004), visualized with vaex







- Thermal emission : continuum + line emission
- Synchrotron emission continuum

How can we obtain distinct maps of the ejecta and synchrotron distributions ?

Part I: Methodology

Traditional Analysis Methods





Integration around the peaks :



From Lopez et al. (2011)

Traditional Analysis Methods

Spectra are retrieved from small regions for fitting in Xspec (spectral modeling package), without leveraging Chandra's great spatial resolution.



2D, then 1D

Abundances, temperature, nH... Many free parameters for each component in Xspec.



Traditional Analysis Methods



Analogy with the CMB





Planck survey of the sky





Generalized Morphological Components Analysis

(Bobin et al. 2016)



Blind Source Separation (BSS) algorithm retrieving entangled components from a data set





Generalized Morphological Components Analysis (Bobin et al. 2016)

$$X = AS + N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i S_i + N$$

Blind Source Separation algorithm : The aim is to retrieve n images (x,y) and spectra (E) from the initial (E,x,y) data set without prior instrumental or physical knowledge.



n is user defined

$$X = AS + N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i S_i + N$$

Without any information on A and S, this problem is ill-posed (infinite number of solutions).

$$\min_{A,S} \|X - AS\|_F^2$$

We need a constraint : sparsity

Finding a sparse representation :

Analogy with 1-D :



The Fourier transform allows to describe periodic signals with only a few non zero coefficients.

It makes the different components easier to disentangle by diminishing the overlapping.

The concept of sparsity

In 2-D :

Wavelet transforms give sparse representations of images. In particular, Starlets are well adapted for astrophysical images.

Starlet transform of the Fe structure in Cassiopeia A





In 2-D :



On the right, Starlet transform third scale coefficients of gaussians of different sizes

GMCA



A grid of small gaussians with a constant spectrum



A large gaussian with a gaussian spectrum



Noise

$$X = AS + N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i S_i + N$$

Without any information on A and S, this problem is ill-posed.

$$\min_{A,S} \|X - AS\|_F^2$$

$$X = AS + N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i S_i + N$$

With a sparsity constraint term :

$$\min_{A,S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} ||S_{i}||_{p} + ||X - AS||_{F}^{2}$$

A constraint using morphological diversity.

GMCA

$$\min_{A,S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} ||S_{i}||_{p} + ||X - AS||_{F}^{2}$$

The algorithm is iterative, each iteration containing two steps :

- Step 1: Estimation of S for fixed A, by simultaneously minimizing $||X - AS||_F$ and the term enforcing sparsity in the Wavelet domain;
- Step 2: Estimation of A for fixed S by minimizing $||X-AS||_F$.

Generalized Morphological Components Analysis (Bobin et al. 2016)

First hypothesis : linear decomposition

<u>Second hypothesis</u> : different components have different morphology

Third hypothesis : the noise is gaussian additive

Generalized Morphological Components Analysis (Bobin et al. 2016)

First hypothesis : linear decomposition

<u>Second hypothesis</u> : different components have different morphology

<u>Third hypothesis</u> : the noise is gaussian additive Is it appropriate for X-ray studies ?

GMCA on X-ray data

Generalized Morphological Components Analysis (Bobin et al. 2016)

First hypothesis : linear decomposition

=> Associates mean spectra to retrieved images : every pixel has the same spectrum. Consistent ?

<u>Second hypothesis</u> : different components have different morphology

=> Yes for extended sources (filaments, clumps, knots...)

Third hypothesis : the noise is gaussian additive

=> No, the noise is Poissonian in X-rays

Test on toy models

Our two toy models have two components :



The first component is a synchrotron emission, the second one is either a thermal emission or a line emission. We generate Poisson noise.

Test on toy models



Both components are entangled in our toy model
Test on toy models



Reconstructed image accuracy



Examples of Structural similarity index (SSIM) coefficients associated with the corresponding images



SSIM coefficients of the images of the retrieved second component in both toy models

Spectral accuracy



Spectra of second component retrieved by GMCA in both toy models Dashed lines : theoretical models. On the right, we can see important deviations in high energy from the model.

Test on real data of Cas A

<u>Ca line emission :</u>

Integration on 3.75-3.95 keV



Image in square root scale

Test on real data of Cas A

<u>Ca line emission :</u>

GMCA on 3.6-4.1 keV



Image in square root scale

Application on real data of Cas A





-42

GMCA

Generalized Morphological Components Analysis (Bobin et al. 2016)

First hypothesis : linear decomposition

=> Associates mean spectra to retrieved images. Consistent results !

<u>Second hypothesis</u> : different components have different morphology

=> Yes for extended sources (filaments, clumps, knots...)

<u>Third hypothesis</u> : the noise is gaussian additive => No, the noise is Poissonian in X-rays. But the results are consistent nonetheless.

Akaike Information Criterion

How can we choose *n*, the number of components to retrieve ?

Akaike Information Criterion

How can we choose *n*, the number of components to retrieve ?

The algorithm being fast-running, the best solution is to try different values of n.

The minimum of the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) can help to determine a good number of components :

 $AIC = n \times C - 2ln(L)$

complexity of the model goodness of fit proportional to n

Akaike Information Criterion

n



pGMCA

(Bobin J., El Hamzaoui I., Picquenot A., Acero F.)

A brand new version of GMCA has been developed during this thesis to take into account Poissonian noise.

The linear model X = AS + N is replaced by the probability for a given sample to take the value $\overline{X[elem]}$, given by the Poisson law :

$$P(X[elem]|AS_{direct}[elem]) = rac{e^{-AS_{direct}[elem]}AS_{direct}[elem]^{X[elem]}}{X[elem]!}$$

pGMCA

pGMCA needs every wavelet scale to reconstruct S in the pixel domain between each iteration in order to calculate the likelihood.

The
$$\min_{A,S} ||X - AS||_F^2$$
 term is replaced by the
Poisson likelihood $\mathcal{L}(X, AS_{direct})$

The implementation is still iterative, but a preliminary GMCA is needed to make a first guess, as pGMCA is very sensitive to the initial conditions.



First hypothesis : linear decomposition

=> Associates mean spectra to retrieved images : every pixel has the same spectrum. Consistent results !

<u>Second hypothesis</u> : different components have different morphology

=> Yes for extended sources (filaments, clumps, knots...)

Now the Poissonian noise is properly handled !

Errorbars with real data

In order to fit the spectra with physical models, we need errorbars associated with the retrieved spectra.

Errorbars with real data

In order to fit the spectra with physical models, we need errorbars associated with the retrieved spectra.

However, the count distribution of the disentangled components are not of a Poissonian nature.

How can we obtain errorbars from a single dataset ?

Bootstrap

The Bootstrap is a statistical method consisting of a random sampling with replacement from a current set of data. In our case, the events are the detected photon characterized by the triplet (x,y,E).



An example of Bootstrapping

Retrieving Error bars

Applying bootstrap on a Poisson data set is exactly equivalent to adding Poisson noise to the Poisson data set :



Real data = Poisson realization of the image of a square



Associated histogram and resampled histogram

53



In wavelet scales

Retrieving Error bars

With a simulated image of Cassiopeia A :



Poisson realization of a simulated image of Cas A



Associated histogram and resampled histogram



In wavelet scales

Retrieving Error bars

...which is reflected in the bias in the components retrieved by pGMCA on bootstrap resamplings



pGMCA is highly sensitive to the additional noise

How can we develop a method giving an appropriate histogram ?

How can we develop a method giving an appropriate histogram ?

By working directly on the histogram, rather than on the individual events !

<u>Step 1</u> :Generating N histograms with a spread around the data mimicking that of a Monte-Carlo



Square example



<u>Step 1</u> :Generating N histograms with a spread around the data mimicking that of a Monte-Carlo

<u>Step 2</u> : Creating new images by imposing the new histograms on the original image



59



60

The constrained bootstrap corrects the bias in the pGMCA results



Classical bootstrap



Constrained bootstrap

The constrained bootstrap corrects the bias in the pGMCA results





Classical bootstrap

Constrained bootstrap

We removed the bias, but we do not control the variance

Retrieving errorbars on Poissonian data sets for non-linear estimators is an open and general question.

Our constrained bootstrap :

- gives unbiased results => test of robustness around initial conditions
- gives inconsistent spread => no physical significance

Part II: Applications

Asymmetries in Cassiopeia A



-65

Velocity Asymmetries



Velocity Asymmetries



red-shifted Ar



blue-shifted Ar

Lighter elements



Probing the Fe at different ionization states



Morphological Asymmetries

Distribution asymmetries in Blue or Red shifted components :



Ejecta and neutron star



71

Ejecta velocities



From 44Ti NuSTAR study of Grefenstette et al. (2017).

Velocities retrieved by fitting gaussians : large calibration uncertainties not included

| Line | ΔV | V _{red} | V _{blue} |
|------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | km/s | km/s | km/s |
| Si xm | 5787 | 804 | 4983 |
| Si xm* | 5762 | 2081 | 3681 |
| S xv | 6092 | 2632 | 3460 |
| Ar xvii | 6684 | 2826 | 3858 |
| Ca xix | 6684 | 1721 | 4963 |
| Fe complex | 5716 | 2768 | 2948 |
Synchrotron filaments



Two main models to account for the filaments :

- Energy loss of the electrons. Energy dependent widths
- Damping of the magnetic field. No energy dependent widths

Synchrotron filaments in X-rays



Synchrotron filaments in X-rays



Conclusion and Perspectives

pGMCA

Generalized Morphological Components Analysis (Bobin et al. 2016)

<u>First hypothesis</u> : linear decomposition

=> Associates mean spectra to retrieved images : every pixel has the same spectrum. Consistent results, information on velocity asymmetries.

<u>Second hypothesis</u> : different components have different morphology

=> Without prior physical information, physically consistent components. No spurious artifacts.

=>The Poissonian noise is properly handled by pGMCA

pGMCA

Generalized Morphological Components Analysis (Bobin et al. 2016)

=> The performances of the algorithms are very casespecific. They highly depend on the morphologies of the components to disentangle. Minimum count of roughly one million in total.

=> There is currently no way to retrieve physically significant error bars. Classical bootstrap introduces biases in the results. Our constrained bootstrap method is promising, as it gives unbiased results, but the spread cannot be trusted.

Cassiopeia A ejecta

- Application of pGMCA provided a 3D view of the distribution of individual elements.
- Most of the ejecta are red-shifted
 Proof of an asymmetric explosion



- Bulk of the ejecta opposite to the neutron star
 Neutron star kick possibly due to recoil
- Red and blue components are not diametrically opposed, disfavouring the idea of a jet/counter jet mechanism.

Cassiopeia A filaments

- Narrowing of the filaments with energy :
- => First detection in Cas A
- => Similar to SN1006 (Ressler et al., 2014)
- => Disfavours damping mechanism



 The dependency in energy of the filaments widths will allow us to constrain the diffusion properties and testing the damping hypothesis.

Constraining asymmetries on SNR population Type Ia v. Core-Collapse

10 SNRs with more than 250 ks observations (Chandra+XMM)







Perseus in X-rays



The Perseus galaxy cluster seen by Chandra

Perseus in X-rays

Application of pGMCA :



Perseus in X-rays

Application of pGMCA :



- Introduction of machine learning to constrain the spectral shapes of the components to retrieve (for example power laws or thermal models).
- Using adaptive binning to reduce the dynamic range between high and low energies.



- Taking into account mosaic observations (large SNRs, Magellanic clouds or galactic center)
- Taking into account the PSF. In X-rays, we can consider it constant, but it is energy dependent in CTA or Fermi-LAT.



 Using data of a different type than (x,y,E) cubes. For example, transient or other temporally variable sources (x,y,t) could be studied with our method.

• On future instruments, such as Lynx or Athena's X-IFU to exploit fully the amazing data it will gather.

Artist's impression



spatial : 5 arcsec ; spectral : 2.5 eV

GMCA on the Fe complex in simulations of X-IFU data





THE END